UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

COMMISSION,

Civil Action No. 07-CV-8177

(KMK) (GAY)

Plaintiff,

ECF CASE

PUTNAM STONE & MASON SUPPLY, INC.

v.

AMENDED COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Defendants.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Inte I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to compel Defendant to comply with a mediation Settlement Agreement, achieved through the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) mediation process, in resolution of a charge of sexual harassment, and to provide appropriate relief to Erika Stanczak (Stanczak), the Charging Party. As alleged with greater specificity below, Stanczak, Defendant, and EEOC entered into a mediation Settlement Agreement through EEOC's mediation program on May 3, 2007, in settlement of Charge No. 520-2007-01173, filed by Stanczak against Defendant. The EEOC alleges that Defendant breached the mediation Settlement Agreement when it failed and refused to abide by the terms of the agreement, as set forth with greater specificity below.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII") and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981a.

2. The alleged unlawful employment practices were and are now being committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff EEOC is an agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title VII and is authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant Putnam Stone & Mason Supply, Inc. has continuously been a corporation under the laws of New York, doing business in New York, having its registered office in Carmel, New York in the county of Putnam, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Putnam Stone & Mason Supply, Inc. has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-(b), (g), and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. More than 30 days prior to the institution of this lawsuit Stanczak filed charges of discrimination with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 7. Defendant voluntarily accepted EEOC's offer to mediate the charge allegations pursuant to EEOC's mediation program.
- 8. On May 3, 2007 mediation was held in EEOC's New York District Office. Stanczak and a representative of Defendant were present for the mediation and both Stanczak and Defendant were represented by their counsel.

Page 3 of 8

- As a result of the mediation, EEOC, Defendant and Stanczak entered into a 9. mediation Settlement Agreement dated May 3, 2007. A copy of the mediation Settlement Agreement is attached to this complaint as Exhibit 1.
- 10. The terms of the mediation Settlement Agreement required Defendant to pay the sum of \$45,000.00, payable in monthly installments with \$15,000 to be paid on May 15, 2007 and \$7,500 to be paid on the 15th of each month thereafter until September 15, 2007.
 - 11. Defendant has failed to make any payment to Stanczak.
- Defendant's failure to make payment to Stanczak constitutes a breach of the 12. mediation Settlement Agreement.
- 13. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Stanczak of equal employment opportunities and deprive her of the specific relief to which she is entitled, in violation of the mediation Settlement Agreement reached pursuant to EEOC's mediation process.
 - 14. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were willful.
 - 15. The unlawful practices complained of above were and are intentional.
- 16. The unlawful practices complained of above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Stanczak.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Therefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant judgment against Defendant compelling specific performance by Defendant with the mediation Settlement Agreement entered into by EEOC, Stanczak and Defendant on May 3, 2007;
- B. Grant judgment against Defendant ordering Defendant to make Stanczak whole by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the breach of the

mediation Settlement Agreement entered into by EEOC, Stanczak and Defendant on May 3, 2007;

- C. Grant a judgment ordering Defendant to pay Stanczak the amount of damages

 Defendant negotiated and agreed to pay (\$45,000), plus prejudgment interest and legal costs

 associated with the collection of the amount due and owing;
- D. Grant a judgment ordering Defendant to pay punitive damages to Stanczak for its malicious and/or reckless conduct;
- E. Grant a judgment ordering such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest;
 - F. Award the Commission its costs in this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The EEOC requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint.

Dated: September 26, 2007 New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald Cooper General Counsel

James L. Lee Deputy General Counsel

Gwendolyn Young Reams Associate General Counsel

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 1801 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C., 20507

Elizabeth Grossman (EG-2478)

Regional Attorney

elizabeth.grossman@eeoc.gov

Judy A. Keenan (JK-3083) Supervisory Trial Attorney judy.keenan@eeoc.gov

Monique J/Roberts (MR-6338) Trial Attorney

New York District Office 33 Whitehall St., 5th floor New York, N.Y. 10004-2112

Telephone: (212) 336-3704 Facsimile: (212) 336-3623 monique.roberts@eeoc.gov

EXHIBIT 1



U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION New York District Office

33 Whitchall Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10004-2112 (212) 336-3620 TTY (212) 336-3622 General FAX (212) 336-3625

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

CHARGE NUMBER:

520-2007-01173

CHARGING PARTY: EVILA STANCZOL&

RESPONDING PARTY: Put no m Stoxe & Mason Supply

- In exchange for satisfactory fulfillment by Pulman Store of the reinafter "Respondent") of the promises in this Agreement, Filed Store to (hereinafter "Charging Party") agrees not to institute a lawsuit under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (hereinafter "Title VII"), based on EEOC Charge Number 520-2007-01173.
- 2. Further, the Parties hereto agree that submission of this Agreement to the EEOC will constitute a request for closure of EEOC Charge Number 520-2007-01173.
- 3. It is understood that this Agreement does not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation of the Title VII.
- 4. Respondent agrees that there shall be no discrimination or retaliation in violation of the Title VII, against any person because of Charge Number 520-2007-01173 or actions relating to that charge or this Settlement.
- 5. The parties agree that the EEOC is authorized to investigate compliance with this Agreement, and to bring a legal action to enforce the Settlement.
- 6. The Parties agree that this Agreement shall be kept confidential except that it may be specifically enforced in court and may be used as evidence in a subsequent proceeding in which any of the Parties allege a breach of this Agreement.
- 7. The Parties agree that this document constitutes a final and complete statement of the entire agreement between the parties.
- 8. In exchange for satisfactory fulfillment by the Charging Party of the promises made in this Agreement, Respondent and Charging Party have agreed to the following:

The parties will exchange great releases within sevendays or the parties will exchange queral releases with a very ungo or by 3/15/07. The release by the Charging faith will be held in escrow will such time as Respondent's obligations are completed. Respondent shall be attorners for Charging Bith without withholding Respondent a Gubits their after part 7,500. To on or before June 15,2007. Sincliffed the part of their after part 1,500. The on or before June 15,2007. Sincliffed the part of each moral them after centil satisfied aliston. Responded Shall give (Harging Aity's attorneys confession of judgened to be held in escious and returned upon satisfaction of Responden Obligations, Buth parties aree to medical non-disparagement Dring breakles their obligations pursuant to this a greenen no pegneral should be subject to with holding taxes.

> In reliance on the promises made in paragraphs (1), (2), (4) (5), (6), (7), and (8), the EEOC agrees to terminate its investigation and not to use the above-referenced charge as a jurisdictional basis for a civil action under the Title VII. The EEOC does not waive or in any manner limit its right to investigate or seek relief in any other charge including, but not limited to, a charge filed by a member of the Commission against the Respondent.

> > Agreed to this on the 3rd day of May, 2007

Charging Party's Representative

ADR Program Coordinator

Respondent's Representative

Spencer H. Lewis, Jr.